

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO**

**TONY RAPATZ, ALICE GUERRA, and
KATHERINE GUERRA,**

Plaintiffs,

v.

No. 12-cv-0827 RHS/SMV

**JOE MARTINEZ, CHRISTIAN LOPEZ,
ROBERT VIGIL, DANNY PACHECO,
CITY OF ESPAÑOLA EMPLOYEES AND
SUPERVISORS JOHN/JANE DOES, and
CITY OF ESPAÑOLA,**

Defendants.¹

SCHEDULING ORDER

THIS MATTER is before the Court on a telephonic Rule 16 scheduling conference, held on November 19, 2014. The parties' Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan [Doc. 142] is adopted, except as modified below.

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan adopted in compliance with the Civil Justice Reform Act, and pursuant to Title 28 U.S.C. § 473(a)(1), this case is assigned to a "Standard" track classification.

Plaintiff(s) shall be allowed until **December 31, 2014**, to join additional parties and amend the pleadings (in compliance with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)). Defendant(s) shall be allowed until **January 14, 2015**, to join additional parties and amend the pleadings (in compliance with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a)).

¹ Defendants Joe Martinez and Christian Lopez were dismissed as parties on September 30, 2014. [Doc. 135].

The parties must disclose every expert witness who is expected to testify, even if the expert is not required to submit an expert report. *See Fed. R. Civ. P.* 26(a)(2)(B)–(C); D.N.M.LR-Civ. 26.3(b).² Plaintiff(s) shall identify to all parties in writing any expert witness to be used by Plaintiff(s) at trial and provide expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) or summary disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2)(C)³ no later than **February 17, 2015**. All other parties shall identify in writing any expert witness to be used by such parties at trial and provide expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B) or summary disclosures under Rule 26(a)(2)(C) no later than **March 19, 2015**. Rebuttal experts disclosed pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(D)(ii) shall be disclosed within 30 days after the other party's disclosure.

The termination date for discovery is **May 18, 2015**, and discovery shall not be reopened, nor shall case management deadlines be modified, except by an order of the Court upon a showing of good cause. This deadline shall be construed to require that discovery be completed on or before the above date. Service of interrogatories or requests for production shall be considered timely only if the responses are due prior to the deadline. A notice to take deposition shall be considered timely only if the deposition takes place prior to the deadline. The pendency of dispositive motions shall not stay discovery.

Motions relating to discovery (including, but not limited to, motions to compel and motions for protective order) shall be filed with the Court and served on opposing parties by **June 8, 2015**. *See D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7* for motion practice requirements and timing of responses

² *See also Blodgett v. United States*, No. 2:06-CV-00565 DAK, 2008 WL 1944011, at *5 (D. Utah May 1, 2008).

³ Summary disclosures are, under certain circumstances, required of treating physicians. *Farris v. Intel Corp.*, 493 F. Supp. 2d 1174, 1180 (D.N.M. 2007) (Treating physicians who do not submit Rule 26 expert reports may only testify “based on . . . personal knowledge and observations obtained during [the] course of care and treatment[.]”); *Blodgett*, 2008 WL 1944011, at *5 (“[T]reating physicians not disclosed as experts are limited to testimony based on personal knowledge and may not testify beyond their treatment of a patient.”).

and replies. This deadline shall not be construed to extend the 21-day time limit in D.N.M.LR-Civ. 26.6 (Party served with objection to discovery request must file motion to compel within 21 days of service of objection. Failure to file motion within 21 days constitutes acceptance of the objection.).

Pretrial motions, other than discovery motions, shall be filed with the Court and served on opposing party by **June 18, 2015**. *See* D.N.M.LR-Civ. 7 for motion practice requirements and timing of responses and replies. Any pretrial motions, other than discovery motions, filed after the above dates shall, in the discretion of the Court, be considered untimely.

If documents are attached as exhibits to motions, affidavits or briefs, those parts of the exhibits that counsel want to bring to the attention of the Court must be highlighted in accordance with D.N.M.LR-Civ. 10.6.

Counsel are directed to file a consolidated final Pretrial Order as follows: Plaintiff(s) to Defendant(s) on or before **August 3, 2015**; Defendant(s) to Court on or before **August 17, 2015**.

Counsel are directed that the Pretrial Order will provide that no witnesses except rebuttal witnesses whose testimony cannot be anticipated, will be permitted to testify unless the name of the witness is furnished to the Court and opposing counsel no later than thirty (30) days prior to the time set for trial. Any exceptions thereto must be upon order of the Court for good cause shown.

IT IS SO ORDERED.



STEPHAN M. VIDMAR
United States Magistrate Judge